

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/890,875	Applicant(s) OHNOGI ET AL.	
	Examiner San-ming Hui	Art Unit 1617	

All Participants:

(1) San-ming Hui.

(2) Mr. Neimark, attorney of record.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. neimark informed the Examienr that no reply was filed in response to the office action mailed 6/23/2006

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)